



# IT risks and mitigations

For UK Estates Teams in the public and private sector

# Risks

## Data security and privacy breaches

CAFM/IWMS platforms often store sensitive data on assets, building layouts, access points, and potentially staff information. A weak security posture exposes the organisation to cyber threats and data protection violations (e.g. UK GDPR breaches).

### Mitigation steps

- Involve IT security early to perform a **vendor risk assessment**
- Insist on **Cyber Essentials Plus** or **ISO 27001** certification from vendors
- Require **UK-based data hosting**
- Check for **role-based access controls, encryption at rest and in transit**
- Review vendor's **data processing agreement (DPA)** for GDPR compliance

## Poor integration with existing systems

Failure to integrate with HR, finance, access control, or IoT systems leads to siloed data and duplication of work, undermining efficiency and digital transformation goals.

### Mitigation steps

- Map required integrations during **requirements gathering**
- Ask vendors for **API documentation** and shy away from open or existing APIs, as they will not be as secure as bespoke APIs
- Have IT confirmed compatibility with existing systems (e.g. ERP, Active Directory)
- Conduct **technical evaluation workshops** before selection
- Include integration capabilities in RFP scoring

# Risks

## Vendor lock-in or proprietary data formats

Some platforms restrict data exports or use closed data models, making it difficult to switch systems or change FM providers in future.

- Insist on full **data export capabilities** in open formats (e.g. CSV, JSON, IFC)
- Include clauses in the contract for **data portability on exit**
- Require visibility of **data model structure** during evaluation
- Have IT/legal review contracts for **ownership of data clauses**

## Unvetted cloud or hosting environments

Cloud-based platforms must be hosted securely. Using unvetted or offshore environments can breach organisational or sector policies (especially in health, defence, or local government).

- Verify **vendor's cloud provider (e.g. AWS UK, Azure UK)** is compliant
- Reject platforms hosted in non-compliant jurisdictions (e.g. US-only with no UK/EU fallback)
- Confirm **backups, failover procedures, and SLAs**
- Request a **Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery plan (BCDR)**

# Risks

## Underestimating total cost of ownership (TCO)

Some platforms appear cheap upfront but require high integration, customisation, or user support costs later, especially when IT involvement is delayed.

### Mitigation steps

- Include **implementation, licensing, support, training, and integrations** in cost model
- Ask for 3–5 year **TCO projection**
- Engage IT to assess ongoing **support workload** and resource needs
- Ask vendor for clear **pricing structure for upgrades, modules, and APIs**

## Inflexible system configuration

Choosing a rigid, hard-coded system limits the ability to adjust workflows or adapt to new FM providers, legislative changes, or internal processes.

### Mitigation steps

- Select systems with **configurable (not custom-coded)** workflows
- Ask vendors for demo of how workflows and dashboards are adjusted
- Test use cases like **changing PPM frequency or SLA thresholds** during pilot

# Risks

## Limited user access and support models

Platforms that charge per user or restrict subcontractor access hinder adoption and limit visibility.

- Clarify user licensing model early (named vs concurrent)
- Ensure the platform supports **multi-user, multi-role** access (e.g. Estates, IT, FM contractors)
- Ensure **service desk support model** is sufficient

## No exit strategy or poor handover capability

Poor planning for future offboarding leads to data loss, compliance gaps, and transition issues when changing FM contracts or platforms.

- Build **offboarding and data export clauses** into the contract
- Require regular (e.g. quarterly) **data backups in open format**
- Develop an **Exit Plan** jointly with IT and Estates before go-live

# Summary

## Estates checklist for mitigating IT risks

Risk area	Mitigation action
Data security	Vendor security review, encryption, GDPR alignment
Integration	IT-led evaluation of APIs and data mapping
Vendor lock-in	Exportable data, contract clauses, open formats
Cloud compliance	UK hosting, DR plans, service SLAs
Cost management	Full TCO analysis, no hidden API/license costs
Flexibility	Configurable workflows, test during pilot
User management	Licensing model review, multi-role support
Exit planning	Offboarding plans, data backups, contract clauses

## Final advice to estate teams

- Engage IT from day one – not just post-selection
- Make technical due diligence part of vendor evaluation
- Treat IT risks as strategic risks, not just operational issues
- Build a cross-functional procurement team with IT, Procurement, Legal and Estates from the start



# Book a demo

01925 989 500  
[keri.barton@concerto.co.uk](mailto:keri.barton@concerto.co.uk)