



### **REGULATORY REFORM (FIRE SAFETY) ORDER 2005 FIRE RISK ASSESSMENT**

### IMAGE OF PREMISES



Responsible person (e.g. employer) or person having control of the premises:	Daniel Horner
Address of premises:	Grimsby Unit 1 Riverside Retail Park, Victoria Street, Grimsby
Assessor:	Russell Pooley
Survey Number:	MOB Insight: 0207
Date of fire risk assessment:	03/11/2022
Date of previous fire risk assessment:	03/08/2021
Suggested date for review:	03/04/2023
The purpose of this report is to provide an asseried to life from fire in these promises and wh	

risk to life from fire in these premises, and, where appropriate, to make recommendations to ensure compliance with fire safety legislation. The report does not address the risk to property or business continuity from fire.

Signature	0H

Α.	THE PREMISES	
A1	Number of floors at ground level and above:	1
A2	Number of floors entirely below ground level:	3
A3	Floors on which car parking is provided:	4
Appro	oximate floor area:	
A4	m2 per floor:	5
A5	m2 gross:	6
A6	m2 on ground floor:	7
A7	Details of construction and layout: Test additional notes	
B1.	OCCUPANCY	
B1.1	Approximate maximum number of employees at any one time:	1
B1.2	Approximate maximum number of other occupants at any one time:	2
B1.3	Approximate total number of people present in the building at any one time:	3
B1.4	Sleeping occupants:	4
B1.5	Disabled employees:	5
B1.6	Other disabled occupants:	3
B1.7	Occupants in remote areas and lone workers:	7
B1.8	Young persons employed (under 18):	8
B1.9	Others: Test test 782	
B2.	FIRE LOSS EXPERIENCE	
B2.1	Fire Loss Experience: <i>None</i>	
B3.	OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION	
B3.1	Other Relevant Information: None	
B4.	RELEVANT FIRE SAFETY LEGISLATION	
B4.1	The following fire safety legislation applies to these premises: None	
B4.2	The above legislation is enforced by: <i>None</i>	
B4.3	Other legislation that makes significant requirements for fire precautions in these premises [other than the Building Regulations 2010(as amended)]: <i>None</i>	
B4.4	The other legislation referred to above is enforced by: <i>None</i>	
B4.5	Is there an alterations notice in force?	es

B4.6	Relevant information and defic <i>Test</i>	iencies observed:		
C.	FIRE HAZARDS AND IGNITION SOURCES			
C1	Are all electrical cables and equipment in good condition without signs of visible defects? Yes			
C2	Are all electrical sockets used c applied?	orrectly and not overloaded, is the rule of o	ne plug and one socket being	Yes
С3	Are portable electrical appliance	es PAT tested?		No
Recon	nmended Action #1	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Moderate	
Inspectio	on and Testing of Electrical Items, 5th Edit	r testing and inspection by a competent person in action – There was no evidence that the portable applian ould be tested and inspected by a competent person	nces throughout the premises were subj	
C4	Has the main electrical system	been tested within the last 5 years by a com	npetent engineer?	Yes
Recon	nmended Control #1	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Tolerable	
		ubject to regular testing and inspection in accordance evidence on a localised sticker on the distribution boa		
C5	Is there managerial control of personal electric items? Yes			Yes
C6	Is lightning protection provided for the building?			Yes
C6.1	Are annual inspection and testi	ng of the lightning protection system under	taken?	No
Recon	nmended Control #2	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Tolerable	
		this premises, however there were no records to indic ould be inspected by a competent person to ensure it		
C7	Is smoking restricted to the des unwanted fires in ashtrays or re	signated smoking areas, and are these areas ubbish receptacles?	properly managed to prevent	Yes
C8	Are mains gas supplies connect	ed?		Yes
C9	Are fixed heating installations, contractors?	gas appliances and boilers subject to regula	r maintenance by competent	Yes
Recon	nmended Control #3	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Tolerable	
There is		ular maintenance and inspection in accordance with rd under the stairs and there was certification availab		
C10	Is the use of portable heaters a	voided?		No
Recon	nmended Action #2	Priority: Information Only	Risk: Trivial	
Portable	heaters should be avoided as much as po	ssible.		
C11	If not, are they kept away from	draughts and flammable materials?		Yes

C12 Are all cooking processes properly supervised, and are deep fat fryers, ovens, grease extraction canopies and other catering equipment regularly checked for defects, are all cleaning routines followed?

No

Recon	nmended Action #3	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Tolerable	
extractio unavaila	n canopy, there were no records available a ble to show that the canopy was cleaned wi	t the time of this assessment to show that thin the last 12 months, arrangement sho	or customers and functions, this kitchen is fitted t the canopy is subject to regular cleaning – If rec uld be made to ensure that the canopy is cleaned ement of Grease Accumulation within Kitchen Ex	ords are as soon as possible
C13	Are emergency cut off facilities p	provided for cooking facilities?		Yes
C14	Has arson been considered by th	ne manager/owner?		Yes
C15	Are there any measures provide Gates etc.	d to counter arson? (specified belc	ow) i.e. CCTV, Intruder Alarms, Security	Yes
C16	Is access to all plant rooms restr	icted to authorised persons only, a	nd are all plant rooms free of storage?	Yes
C17	Is external refuse managed corre	ectly?		Yes
C18	Do any potentially hazardous pro	ocesses take place?		Yes
C19	Are large/abnormal amounts of	combustible storage kept in the pr	emises?	Yes
C20	Is there a large or excessive fire	loading?		Yes
C21	If so, are storage arrangements a	adequate?		Yes
C22	Are highly flammable materials l	kept on the premises?		Yes
C23	If so, are storage arrangements a	adequate?		Yes
C24	Are other hazardous materials k	ept on the premises?		Yes
C25	If so, are storage arrangements a	adequate?		Yes
C26	Are appropriate risk assessment	s in place for flammable and hazar	dous substances (COSHH / DSEAR)	Yes
C27	Is general housekeeping satisfac	tory?		Yes
C28	Is the upholstery of foam furnitu	re in good condition?		Yes
C29	Are significant ignition sources s	eparated from combustible or high	nly flammable materials?	Yes
C30	Is the use of highly flammable m	aterials minimalised?		Yes
C31	Was building work being carried	out at the time of inspection?		Yes
C32	If so, did this introduce any unus	sual hazards or ignition sources?		Yes

- V.	00
	ES.

More sp	pecifically:	
C33.1	Where appropriate, are fire safety conditions imposed on contractors?	Yes
C33.2	Where appropriate is a permit to work system used (e.g. for "hot work")?	Yes
C33.3	Are suitable precautions taken by in-house maintenance personnel who carry out works?	Yes
	Relevant information (including description of arrangements and deficiencies observed): Test 2notes	
D. N	MEANS OF ESCAPE & COMPARTMENTATION	
D1	Is the design and maintenance of the means of escape considered adequate?	Yes
More sp	pecifically:	
D1.1	Do staircases and exit capacities appear to be adequate for the number of occupants?	Yes
Are the	re reasonable distances of travel for the following:	
D2.1	Where there is escape in a single direction?	Yes
D2.2	Where there are alternative means of escape?	Yes
D3	Is there adequate provision of exits?	Yes
D4	Do fire exits open in the direction of escape, where necessary?	Yes
D5	Are there satisfactory arrangements for escape where revolving doors or sliding doors are used as exits?	Yes
D6	Is a suitable standard of protection designed for escape routes?	Yes
D7	Are there reasonable arrangements for means of escape for disabled people?	Yes
D8	Are the escape routes available for use and suitably maintained?	Yes
More sp	pecifically:	
D8.1	Are fire-resisting doors maintained in sound condition and self- closing, where necessary?	Yes
D8.2	Are fire-resisting doors fitted with combined intumescent strips and cold smoke seals, where necessary?	Yes
D8.3	Are fire-resisting doors fitted with 3 CE marked hinges?	Yes
D8.4	Are fire-resisting doors fitted with CE marked overhead door closers? Or adjustable perko closers, where necessary?	Yes
D8.5	Is the fire resisting construction protecting escape routes in sound condition?	Yes

D8.6	Are internal escape routes clear of obstructions?			Yes
D8.7	Are external escape routes clear of obstructions?			
D8.8	Are fire exit doors easily and immediately openable?			
Recomr	nended Action #4	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Substantial	
		which could be locked and have the key removed which turn locking mechanism which can be unlocked withou		nises – This
D9	Is compartmentation throughout	t the premises of a reasonable standard?		No
	nended Action #5	Priority: 0-3 Months	Risk: Intolerable	
		walls/ceilings – The areas where compartmentation is to Ilars or other appropriate method of fire resisting intun		sisting
D10	Is there reasonable limitation of	linings that might promote fire spread?		Yes
D11	-	tained, are fire dampers provided as necessa noke, and products of combustion in the earl		Yes
D12	Are disabled refuges provided?			Yes
D13	If so, are they adequately protected and provided with a suitable means of communication?			Yes
D14	Are external staircases properly	protected?		Yes
E. FI	RE ALARM SYSTEM			
E1	Is the fire alarm/detection syster	n appropriate for this type of occupancy?		Yes
E2	Is a zone chart or plan displayed	adjacent to the fire alarm control panel?		Yes
E3	Are all parts of the building that are accessible by any person, including roof plant areas, within audible or visual range of a fire alarm sounder or warning device even when the plant or machinery in those areas is operating?			Yes
E4	Can a fire alarm break glass call point be reached from any part of the building, including any roof plant or basement area?			Yes
E5	Is the fire alarm system tested or the tests recorded in the Fire Log	n a weekly basis from a different call point ead g Book?	ch week, and are the results of	Yes
E6	Is the fire alarm system clear of a	any outstanding or recurring defects that nee	d resolving?	Yes
E7	Have all staff received training on how to raise the alarm using a break glass point?			Yes
E8	Is the fire alarm system maintained in accordance with BS 5839?			No

Recom	mended Action #6	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Tolerable	
		alarm/detection system was subject to regular mainte s possible and at 6 monthly intervals in accordance wit		
E9	Is the fire alarm linked to a remote manned centre? Yes			Yes
E10	Does the alarm sound the same th	hroughout the whole building?		Yes
E11	Maintenance Company			Mobiess Ltd
F. E	MERGENCY LIGHTING			
F1	Is the emergency lighting system	appropriate to this property?		No
Recom	mended Action #7	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Substantial	
		ses – Emergency lighting should be installed providing betent person in accordance with BS5266-1:2016.	coverage to the means of escape wit	h emergency
F2	Are all emergency lighting units te Book?	ested for correct operation and are the tests	recorded in the Fire Log	No
Recom	mended Action #8	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Moderate	
	re no records available on site to indicate wh cy lighting should be "flick" tested on a mont	hen the emergency lighting system installed at this pre hly basis and records should be kept.	mises is subject to regular in-house t	esting – The
F3	Is the emergency lighting system	clear of any outstanding or recurring defects	that need resolving?	Yes
F4	Is the emergency lighting system	maintained in accordance with BS 5266?		Yes
F5	Is the exterior of each final exit fir a mains electrical failure?	e escape door and pathway lit by emergency	Ighting that activates during	No
Recom	mended Action #9	Priority: 6-12 Months	Risk: Trivial	
	not appear to be any emergency lighting to ng to aid in evacuation in the event of power	the outside of the building – Consideration should be g r failure.	iven to installing emergency lighting	to the outside of
F6	Maintenance Company		F	M Company
G. S	GIGNS AND NOTICES			
G1	Is every final exit fire escape door the door in an emergency?	indicated by an emergency exit sign and a sy	mbol to explain how to open	Yes
G2	Are mandatory signs fitted on the	exterior of each fire exit door that says, 'Fire	e Exit Keep Clear'?	Yes
G3	Are all self-closing fire-resisting doors fitted with appropriate signs that say, 'Fire Door Keep Shut' or Yes 'Automatic Fire Door Keep Clear'?			
G4	Are all fire exits and fire exit route	es clearly indicated by appropriate directiona	l signage?	Yes
G5	Are identification signs present fo	r all extinguishers?		Yes
G6	Are fire alarm call points indicated	d by the appropriate signage?		Yes

### G7 Are fire actions notices displayed at appropriate locations?

Reco	mmended Control #4	Priority: 6-12 Months	Risk: Trivial	
		ing, however they are missing the loction of the asse nilier with the fire procedure and who are unaware of		bly point should
Н.	FIXED INSTALLATIONS AND FIREFIGHT	ING EQUIPMENT		
H1		s provided appropriate to the site? If no, lis eficiency in the amount provided?	t below reason/appropriate	Yes
H2	Are the units serviced annually in	accordance with BS 5306: part 3?		Yes
-13	Are all extinguishers wall mounte	d?		Yes
H4	Maintenance Company			Test
H6	Is the smoke ventilation system to	ested regularly for correct operation?		Yes
H7	Are the smoke control curtains te	sted regularly for correct operation?		Yes
H8	Are the automatic fire shutters/b	arriers tested regularly for correct operation	۱?	Yes
Sprink	ler Systems			
-19	Are sprinklers installed at this pre	mises?		Yes
110	Is the coverage of sprinklers appr	opriate to the premises?		Yes
111	Is the fire sprinkler system tested sprinkler test record card?	on a weekly basis, and are the results of the	e tests recorded on the	No
-112	Is the fire sprinkler system clear c	f any outstanding or recurring defects that	need resolving?	No
-113	Can you at all times gain easy acc	ess to the sprinkler pump room and/or tank	room?	Yes
114	Sprinkler System: Maintenance Co	ompany		Test 2
lose l	Reels			
H16	Are hose reels installed at this pre	emises?		Yes
-117	Are the hose reels serviced/ main	tained in accordance with BS-EN-671/3		Yes
118	Hose Reel: Maintenance Compan	У		Test 3
•	MANAGEMENT OF FIRE SAFETY AND	TRAINING ARRANGEMENTS		
1	Are procedures in the event of a f	ire appropriate and properly documented,	where appropriate?	Yes
More	specifically:			
1.1	Are there adequate procedures for	or investigating fire alarm signals?		Yes

11.2	Are there suitable arrangements for summoning the fire & rescue service?	Yes
11.3	Are there suitable arrangements to meet the fire and rescue service on arrival and provide relevant information, including that relating to hazards to firefighters?	No
11.4	Are there suitable arrangements for ensuring that the premises have been evacuated.	Yes
11.5	Is there a suitable fire assembly point(s)?	Yes
11.6	Are there adequate procedures for evacuation of any disabled people who are likely to be present?	No
11.7	Are there nominated members of staff who can assist in the evacuation of any colleague/visitors/ residents who have any temporary or permanent disability?	Yes
11.8	If the premises are in multiple occupation, are there adequate arrangements for cooperation between duty holders to ensure coordination of their fire safety arrangements?	Yes
12	Are routine in-house inspections of fire precautions undertaken?	Yes
12.1	Are routine in-house inspections of fire precautions recorded in the fire safety log book?	Yes
13	Are all staff given adequate fire safety instruction and training?	Yes
More sp	ecifically:	
13.1	Are they trained in induction?	No
13.2	Are they given periodic refresher training?	Yes
13.3	Are they given additional training to cover any specific roles and responsibilities?	Yes
13.4	Is the content of training provided considered adequate?	N/A
13.5	Have an appropriate number of fire wardens been appointed?	N/A
13.6	Have all fire wardens received appropriate 'Fire Warden' training?	No
13.7	Where employees of another employer work in the premises, is appropriate information on fire risks and fire safety measures provided?	Yes
14	Are all fire drills conducted by a member of the senior team and recorded in the Fire Log Book?	Yes
More sp	ecifically:	
14.1	Have all daytime staff taken part in a fire evacuation drill within the last 12 months where simulated conditions render one or more fire exits unusable by the fire?	Yes
14.2	Have all night time staff taken part in a fire evacuation drill within the last 12 months where simulated conditions render one or more fire exits unusable by the fire?	Yes

14.3	Do you normally evacuate your building within 3 minutes? If you normally exceed 3 minutes are there any practices recognised or training required to improve your evacuation procedures?			No
14.4	Is there evidence of appropriate liaison with the fire and rescue service? (i.e. by fire and rescue service crews visiting for familiarisation visits?)			N/A
15	Is there adequate maintenance o	f the premises?		Yes
More s	pecifically:			
15.1	Is there a procedure for reporting	g maintenance concerns or defects to the rele	evant person?	Yes
15.2	Are external staircases examined by a competent person at 5 yearly intervals in accordance with BS8210?		No	
15.3	If lifts are installed at this premises, where are they and are they subject to regular maintenance at 6 or 12 monthly intervals by a suitable contractor?			Yes
Recor	nmended Control #5	Priority: 3-6 Months	Risk: Substantial	
		ere records available to show that the lift is subject to r	regular inspection and the last inspect	ion was carried
out on 2	nd July 2021			
117	Have any extensions/alterations been subject to Building Regulations applications? Yes			Yes
118	-	king place, do you regularly update this risk a Il staff how these changes affect them?	assessment as areas of the	Yes
J.	ACCESS AND FIREFIGHTER SAFETY			
J1	Is access for fire brigade vehicles	satisfactory?		Yes
J2	Is access for firefighters on foot satisfactory?			Yes
J3	Is access for firefighting or means of escape clear and unobstructed?			Yes
J5	Have the Fire and Rescue Service been advised of any changes to the building or occupancy?		Yes	
J6	Are hydrants or other water supp	lies located suitably close to the premises?		Yes
J7	Are the local Fire Service made av firefighting?	ware of any hazardous materials or issues, wh	nich might be hazardous when	Yes
J8	Is external signage provided to wa	arn firefighters of storage hazards?		Yes
J9	Are suitable firefighters' switches	provided?		Yes
J10	Has the responsible person consident environment in case of fire?	dered any issues which might significantly da	mage or affect the	Yes
J11	Has the possibility of fire spread t responsible person?	to or from adjoining or adjacent buildings bee	en considered by the	Yes





# The following simple fire risk level estimator is based on a commonly used health and safety risk level estimator.

Taking into account the fire prevention measures observed at the time of this risk assessment, it is considered that the hazard from fire (likelihood of fire) at these premises is:



In this context, a definition of the above terms is as follows:

Low	Unusually low likelihood of fire as a result of negligible potential sources of ignition.
Medium Normal fire hazards (e.g. potential ignition sources) for this type of occupancy, with fire hazard subject to appropriate controls (other than minor shortcomings).	
High	Lack of adequate controls applied to one or more significant fire hazards, such as to result in significant increase in likelihood of fire.

Taking into account the nature of the premises and the occupants, as well as the fire protection and procedural arrangements observed at the time of this fire risk assessment, it is considered that the consequences for life safety in the event of fire would be:

Consequence

Slight Harm

In this context, a definition of the above terms is as follows:

Slight harm	Slight harm Outbreak of fire unlikely to result in serious injury or death of any occupant (other than an or sleeping in a room in which a fire occurs).		
Moderate harm	Outbreak of fire could foreseeably result in injury (including serious injury) of one or more occupants, but it is unlikely to involve multiple fatalities.		
Extreme harm	Significant potential for serious injury or death of one or more occupants.		

Accordingly, it is considered that the risk to life from fire at these premises is:

Likelihood of fire	Potential consequences of fire		
	Slight harm	Moderate harm	Extreme harm
Low	Trivial Risk	Tolerable Risk	Moderate Risk
Medium	Tolerable Risk	Moderate Risk	Substantial Risk
High	Moderate Risk	Substantial Risk	Intolerable Risk

Fire Risk Assessment



### CONTEXT

A suitable risk-based control plan should involve effort and urgency that is proportional to risk. The following risk-based control plan is based on one that has been advocated for general health and safety risks:

Risk level	Action and timescale
Trivial	No action is required and no detailed records need be kept.
Tolerable	No major additional fire precautions required. However, there might be a need for reasonably practicable improvements that involve minor or limited cost.
Moderate	It is essential that efforts are made to reduce the risk. Risk reduction measures, which should take cost into account, should be implemented within a defined time period. Where moderate risk is associated with consequences that constitute extreme harm, further assessment might be required to establish more precisely the likelihood of harm as a basis for determining the priority for improved control measures.
Substantial	Considerable resources might have to be allocated to reduce the risk. If the premises are unoccupied, it should not be occupied until the risk has been reduced. If the premises are occupied, urgent action should be taken.
Intolerable	Premises (or relevant area) should not be occupied until the risk is reduced.

(Note that, although the purpose of this section is to place the fire risk in context, the above approach to fire risk assessment is subjective and for guidance only. All hazards and deficiencies identified in this report should be addressed by implementing all recommendations contained in the following action plan. The fire risk assessment should be reviewed regularly.)



## Recommended Control Measures

03/11/2022



Ref.	Туре	Description	Priority / Severity	Risk Rating
CM-1	Control	The fixed wiring electrical installation should be subject to regular testing and inspection in accordance with BS 7671 – This type of premises should be inspected every 5 years by a competent person – There was evidence on a localised sticker on the distribution board that the system was inspected on 3rd March 2021	3-6 Months	Tolerable
CM-2	Control	There is a lightning protection system installed at this premises, however there were no records to indicate that the system was subject to any testing or maintenance – The lightning protection system should be inspected by a competent person to ensure it will perform as designed in the event of a lightning strike.	3-6 Months	Tolerable
CM-3	Control	The fixed GAS installation should be subject to regular maintenance and inspection in accordance with The Gas Safety (Installation & Use) Regulations 1998 – There is a Worcester boiler installed in the cupboard under the stairs and there was certification available to evidence that the fixed GAS installation was inspected on 22nd August 2021.	3-6 Months	Tolerable
CM-4	Control	Fire Action notices are displayed throughout the building, however they are missing the loction of the assembly point – The location of the assembly point should be written onto the signs to aid people who are unfamilier with the fire procedure and who are unaware of the location of the assembly point.	6-12 Months	Trivial
CM-5	Control	There is a lift installed (insert location here), there were records available to show that the lift is subject to regular inspection and the last inspection was carried out on 2nd July 2021	3-6 Months	Substantial



### **Recommended Actions**

03/11/2022



Ref.	Туре	Description	Priority / Severity	Risk Rating
SA-1	Action	All portable appliances should be subject to regular testing and inspection by a competent person in accordance with the IET Code of Practice for in-service Inspection and Testing of Electrical Items, 5th Edition – There was no evidence that the portable appliances throughout the premises were subject to regular testing and inspection – All portable appliances should be tested and inspected by a competent person and records should be kept.	3-6 Months	Moderate
SA-2	Action	Portable heaters should be avoided as much as possible.	Information Only	Trivial
SA-3	Action	There is a small commercial kitchen at this premises which is used to prepare and cook food for customers and functions, this kitchen is fitted with a large extraction canopy, there were no records available at the time of this assessment to show that the canopy is subject to regular cleaning – If records are unavailable to show that the canopy was cleaned within the last 12 months, arrangement should be made to ensure that the canopy is cleaned as soon as possible and certification is provided in accordance with TR19: BESA Specification for Fire Risk Management of Grease Accumulation within Kitchen Extraction Systems.	3-6 Months	Tolerable
SA-4	Action	The front door was fitted with a locking mechanism which could be locked and have the key removed which could leave people trapped in the premises – This locking mechanism should be replaced with a thumb turn locking mechanism which can be unlocked without the use of a key.	3-6 Months	Substantial
SA-5	Action	There were multiple breaches of compartmentation walls/ceilings – The areas where compartmentation is breached should be filled using a fire resisting intumescent material or fire resisting intumescent collars or other appropriate method of fire resisting intumescent material.	0-3 Months	Intolerable
SA-6	Action	There was no evidence available to show that the fire alarm/detection system was subject to regular maintenance in accordance with BS5839-1 - The fire alarm/detection system should be serviced as soon as possible and at 6 monthly intervals in accordance with BS5839-1 by a competent person and records should be kept.	3-6 Months	Tolerable
SA-7	Action	There is no emergency lighting installed at this premises – Emergency lighting should be installed providing coverage to the means of escape with emergency lighting being installed outside of each exit by a competent person in accordance with BS5266-1:2016.	3-6 Months	Substantial
SA-8	Action	There were no records available on site to indicate when the emergency lighting system installed at this premises is subject to regular in- house testing – The emergency lighting should be "flick" tested on a monthly basis and records should be kept.	3-6 Months	Moderate
SA-9	Action	There did not appear to be any emergency lighting to the outside of the building – Consideration should be given to installing emergency lighting to the outside of the building to aid in evacuation in the event of power failure.	6-12 Months	Trivial